_	\M/ith		
2	WWITH		

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H					
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received_ from Leona Contwell I recommend that section 131 of the P be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): No New	lanning and Development Act, 2000					
Pot P	04/04/2024					
For further consideration by SEO/SAO						
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.						
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.						
S.E.O.: Date:						
S.A.O: Date:						
MPlease prepare BP Section 131 notice enclosing a	acry of the attacked					
submission	copy of the attached					
o: Task No:						
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP						
EO: Date	:					
AA: Date	:					

File With	า	_
1 110 1110	' 	

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

CONNECTION						
Appeal No: ABP 314485-22						
M						
Please treat correspondence received on	03/2024 as follows:					
1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/	Appellant					
2. Acknowledge with BP 22	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP					
-	2. Keep Envelope:					
	3. Keep Copy of Board's letter					
	1 5 121					
Amendments/Comments Leona Contwell Te.	Sponse to 3.131					
12/03/24:02/04/24						
4. Attach to file						
(a) R/S (d) Screening	RETURN TO EO					
(b) GIS Processing (e) Inspectorate						
(c) Processing						
	Plans Date Stamped					
	Date Stamped Filled in					
EO: fat S	AA: Anthony McNally					
Date: 04/04/2024	Date: 25/04/2024					

Padricks

Derek Kelly

From:

Bord

Sent:

Wednesday 27 March 2024 11:00

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: ABP Case Num: ABP - 314485-22, Planning Authority Ref Num: F20A/0668

Attachments:

ABP Case Num ABP 314485 22 F20A 0668.pdf

From: Cantwell, Leona < Leona. Cantwell@alexion.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2024 10:56 AM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>

Subject: ABP Case Num: ABP - 314485-22, Planning Authority Ref Num: F20A/0668

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

To whom it may concern

Please see attached submission/observation letter for the above case number

Kind Regards

Leona Cantwell

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE -- This communication, including any attachments, is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, copying, disclosure, dissemination or distribution is strictly prohibited. If there is any reason to believe you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return email and delete this communication and destroy all copies. Thank you.



An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case I wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. I am very upset and frustrated to see that the noise contours have been extended significantly into our community and that a large number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. There was absolutely no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours including myself who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours, I have not been publicly notified about this and only became aware of this at a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. There has been no media coverage on this and not one person from DAA or any other forum informed us. We as a community who are now within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as we do not qualify because we did not make a submission previously as we thought we were unaffected. There was no notice given by An Bord Pleanála of this significant additional information. The above is unlawful, unacceptable and shows absolute disrespect for the welfare and health of our communities.
- 2. I note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, 'all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed'. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does <u>NOT</u> meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown?. St Margarets/The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be <u>far beyond</u> those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022?
- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is devastating from a welfare and health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.

In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they have broken the law and that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála.

I implore that this application is refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Sign: _	Deco Catuell	Date:
Addres	s: The Orchard House	, Kilsallaghan, Co. Dublin